

**USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program**  
**Testing for the Presence of Biotechnology Events in Corn and Soybeans**  
**October 2005 Sample Distribution Results**

**Purpose of USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program**

Through the USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program, USDA seeks to improve the overall performance of testing for biotechnology-derived grains and oil seeds. The USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program helps organizations identify areas of concern and take corrective actions to improve testing accuracy, capability and reliability.

**Program Description**

In this round of the USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program one set of samples was used for both qualitative and quantitative analyses. The samples were fortified with various combinations and concentrations of transgenic events, and participants had the choice of providing qualitative and/or quantitative results. Scoring of the participant's results was done by computing the "percentage of correctly reported transgenic events" in the samples. Two biotechnology corn events commercialized in the U.S. in 2003 continue to be included in the sample rounds: MON863 (Monsanto event) and Herculex-TC1507 (Dow AgroScience/Pioneer Dupont event).

**Sample Composition**

The corn samples contained various combinations and concentrations of the following transgenic events: T25, CBH351, MON810, GA21, E176, Bt11, NK603, Herculex, and MON863; or, no events (i.e., negative corn sample). The various transgenic concentration levels were produced on a percentage weight-weight basis (%w/w). A calculated amount of ground transgenic corn was mixed with a calculated amount of non-transgenic corn to produce concentrations from 0.1 % to 5.0 % of the event. The soybean samples were either non-transgenic soybeans, or fortified soybeans samples containing 0.1 %, 1.5 %, or 3.0% of the transgenic glyphosate-tolerant soybeans (RoundUp Ready®). Each participant received six corn samples and three soybean samples. Each sample contained approximately 20 grams of ground material.

**Program Participants**

Participants included organizations from Africa, Asia, Europe, North America, and South America. Each participant received a study description and a data report form by electronic mail, and included with the samples. Participants submitted results by electronic mail, FAX, or regular mail. No analytical methodologies were specified, and organizations used both DNA- and protein-based testing technologies. Fifty organizations participated in the October 2005 round of proficiency testing.

- Twenty-four participants submitted **qualitative** results only,
- Three participants submitted **quantitative** results only, and
- Twenty-three participants submitted a combination of **qualitative** and **quantitative** results.

In this report, participating organizations are identified by a confidential "Participant Identification Number." Appendix I identifies those organizations who gave GIPSA permission to list them as participants in the USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program.

**Data Summary Results**

Data submitted by the participants are summarized in this report primarily in tables and figures. Participants reported their results on a qualitative basis, quantitative basis, or a

combination of both qualitative and quantitative bases. Qualitative results were reported as the presence or absence of a particular event in each sample. Quantitative results were reported as the concentration of a particular event in the sample. Due to the complexity of the data, this report summarizes the data as follows:

**Qualitative Data Summaries.** This section summarizes qualitative sample analysis data:

- Table 1: Qualitative results for corn fortified with 35S for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 2: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for 35S for all participants.
- Table 3: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NOS for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 4: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NOS for all participants.
- Table 5: Qualitative results for corn fortified with T25 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 6: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for T25 for all participants.
- Table 7: Qualitative results for corn fortified with CBH351 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 8: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CBH351 for all participants.
- Table 9: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON810 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 10: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON810 for all participants.
- Table 11: Qualitative results for corn fortified with GA21 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 12: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for GA21 for all participants.
- Table 13: Qualitative results for corn fortified with E176 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 14: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for E176 for all participants.
- Table 15: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Bt11 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 16: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Bt11 for all participants.

- Table 17: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NK603 for all participants. (DNA-based assays).
- Table 18: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NK603 for all participants.
- Table 19: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Herculex for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 20: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Herculex for all participants.
- Table 21: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON863 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 22: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON863 for all participants.
- Table 23: Qualitative results for soybeans fortified with CP4 EPSPS (Roundup Ready) for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 24: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CP4 EPSPS for all participants.
- Table 25: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for each transgenic event for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Figure 1: Summary data of all participants for each event combined with the number of results submitted for that particular event (DNA-based assays).
- Table 26: Qualitative results for corn fortified with T25 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 27: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for T25 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 28: Qualitative results for corn fortified with CBH351 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 29: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CBH351 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 30: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NK603 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 31: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NK603 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 32: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Herculex for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).

- Table 33: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Herculex for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 34: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON863 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 35: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON863 for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing).
- Table 36: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Cry1Ab for all participants using Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Testing (Protein-based testing).

**Quantitative Data Summaries.** This section summarizes quantitative sample analysis data:

- Table 37: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with T25 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 38: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with MON863 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 39: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with E176 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 40: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with Herculex for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 41: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with MON810 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 42: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with CBH351 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 43: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with NK603 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 44: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with Bt11 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 45: Quantitative results and z-scores for corn fortified with Ga21 for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 46: Quantitative results and z-scores for soybeans fortified with CP4 EPSPS for all participants (DNA-based assays).
- Table 47: Descriptive statistics for participant's reported quantifications relative to GIPSA fortification levels using DNA-based assays.
- Appendix I: List of organizations who wished to be identified as a participant in the GIPSA October 2005 Proficiency Program.

**Table 1: Qualitative results for corn fortified with 35S for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

35S	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	N	P	P	P	P	P
1751	N	P	P	P	P	P
1754	N	P	P	P	P	P
1755	N	P	P	P	P	P
1761	N	P	P	P	P	P
1763	N	P	P	P	P	P
1768	N	P	P	P	P	P
1769	N	P	P	P	P	P
1770	N	P	P	P	P	P
1770	N	P	P	P	P	P
1774	N	P	P	P	P	P
1778	N	P	P	P	P	P
1785	N	P	P	P	P	P
1786	N	P	P	P	P	P
1787	N	P	P	P	P	P
1844	N	P	P	P	P	P
1848	N	P	P	P	P	P
1853	N	P	P	P	P	P
1854	N	P	P	P	P	P
1855	N	P	P	P	P	P
1858	N	P	P	P	P	P
1868	N	P	P	P	P	P
1870	N	P	P	P	P	P
1871	N	P	P	P	P	P
1892	N	P	P	P	P	P
1892	N	P	P	P	P	P
2031	N	P	P	P	P	P
2032	N	P	P	P	P	P
2034	N	P	P	P	P	P
2045	N	P	P	P	P	P
2050	N	P	P	P	P	P
2057	N	P	P	P	P	P
2062	N	P	P	P	P	P
2075	N	P	P	P	P	P
2089	N	P	P	P	P	P
2095	N	P	P	P	P	P
2108	N	P	P	P	P	P
2112	N	P	P	P	P	P
2118	N	P	P	P	P	P
2122	N	P	P	P	P	P
2129	N	P	P	P	P	P
2556	N	P	P	P	P	P

**Table 2: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for 35S for all participants.** Table 2 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	245
# Reported Incorrect	0
% Correct	100.0%
# of Provided Positives (P)	204
# of False Negatives	0
%False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	41.0
# of False Positives	0
%False Positive	0.0%

a

Number of Results	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
# Negative	41	0	0	0	0	0
# Positive	0	40	41	41	41	41
% Correct	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% False	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

a

**Table 3: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NOS for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

NOS	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	N	P	P	P	P	P
1751	N	P	P	P	P	P
1754	N	P	P	P	P	P
1755	N	P	P	P	P	P
1761	N	P	P	P	P	P
1763	N	P	P	P	P	P
1768	N	P	P	P	P	P
1769	N	P	P	P	P	P
1770	N	P	P	P	P	P
1770	N	P	P	P	P	P
1774	N	P	P	P	P	P
1778	N	P	P	P	P	P
1785	N	P	P	P	P	P
1786	N	P	P	P	P	P
1844	N	P	P	P	P	P
1848	N	N	N	N	P	P
1853	N	P	P	P	P	P
1854	N	P	P	P	P	P
1855	N	P	P	P	P	P
1858	N	P	P	P	P	P
1868	N	P	P	P	P	P
1870	N	P	P	P	P	P
1871	N	P	P	P	P	P
1892	N	P	P	P	P	P
1892	N	P	P	P	P	P
2032	N	P	P	P	P	P
2034	N	P	P	P	P	P
2050	N	P	P	P	P	P
2057	N	P	P	P	P	P
2062	N	P	P	P	P	P
2095	N	P	P	N	P	P
2098	N	P	P	P	P	P
2108	N	P	P	P	P	P
2112	N	P	P	P	P	P
2122	N	P	P	P	P	P
2129	N	P	P	P	P	P
2556	N	P	P	P	P	P

**Table 4: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NOS for all participants.** Table 4 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	216
# Reported Incorrect	4
% Correct	98.1%
# of Provided Positives (P)	176
# of False Negatives	4
%False Negative	2.2%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	176
# of False Positives	0
%False Positive	0.0%

Number of Results	36	36	36	36	36	36
# Negative	36	1	1	2	0	0
# Positive	0	35	35	34	36	36
% Correct	100.0%	97.2%	97.2%	94.4%	100.0%	100.0%
% False	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table 5: Qualitative results for corn fortified with T25 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

T25	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	1.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%
1751	N	P	P	P	N	P
1774	N	P	P	P	N	P
1785	N				N	P
1786	N	P	P	P	N	P
1787	N	P	P	P	N	P
1844	N	P	P	P	N	P
1848						
1853	N	P	P	P	N	P
1855	N	P	P	P	N	P
1859	N	P	P	P	N	P
1892	N	P	P	P	N	P
2032	N	P	P	P	N	P
2034	N	P	P	P	N	P
2060	N	N	P	P	N	P
2075	N	P	P	P	N	P
2089	N				N	P
2112	N	P	P	P	N	P
2556	N	P	P	P	N	P
2559	N	P	N	P	N	N

<b>Number of Results</b>	18	16	16	16	18	18
<b># Negative</b>	18	1	1	0	18	1
<b># Positive</b>	0	15	15	16	0	17
<b>% Correct</b>	100.0%	93.8%	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	94.4%
<b>% False</b>	0.0%	6.3%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%

**Table 6: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for T25 for all participants.**  
Table 6 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

<b>Total # of Reported Results</b>	102
<b># Reported Incorrect</b>	3
<b>% Correct</b>	97.1%
<b># of Provided Positives (P)</b>	63
<b># of False Negatives</b>	3
<b>%False Negative</b>	4.5%
<b># of Provided Negatives (N)</b>	39
<b># of False Positives</b>	0
<b>%False Positive</b>	0.0%

**Table 7: Qualitative results for corn fortified with CBH351 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

CBH351	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	3.0%	0.1%
1751	N	N	P	N	P	P
1761	N	N	P	N	P	P
1769	N	N	P	N	P	N
1770	N	N	P	N	P	N
1774	N	N	P	N	P	P
1785	N	N	P	N	P	P
1786	N	N	P	N	P	P
1844	N	N	P	N	P	P
1854	N	P	N	P	P	N
1855	N	N	P	N	P	P
1859	N	N	P	N	P	P
1892	N	N	P	N	P	P
2032	N	N	P	N	P	P
2034	N	N	P	N	P	N
2060	N	N	P	N	N	N
2062	N	N	P	N	P	N
2075	N	N	P	N	P	P
2095	N	N	P	N	P	N
2112	N					
2556	N	N	P	N	P	P

Number of Results	20	19	19	19	19	19
# Negative	20	18	1	18	1	7
# Positive	0	1	18	1	18	12
% Correct	100.0%	94.7%	94.7%	94.7%	94.7%	63.2%
% False	0.0%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	36.8%

**Table 8: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CBH351 for all participants. Table 8 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.**

Total # of Reported Results	115
# Reported Incorrect	11
% Correct	90.4%
# of Provided Positives (P)	50
# of False Negatives	9
%False Negative	15.3%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	65
# of False Positives	2
%False Positive	3.0%



**Table 9: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON810 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

MON810	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%
1751	N	N	P	P	P	N
1754	N	N	P	P	P	N
1778	N	N	P	P	P	N
1785	N	N	P	P	P	N
1786	N	N	P	P	P	N
1787	N	N	P	P	P	N
1848	N	N	P	P	P	N
1855	N	N	P	P	P	N
1858	N	N	P	P	P	N
1868	N	N	P	P	P	N
1892	N	N	P	P	P	N
2034	N	N	P	P	P	N
2045	N	P	P	P	P	P
2089	N	N	P	P	P	N
2095	N	N	P	P	P	N
2098	N	N	P	P	P	N

Number of Results	16	16	16	16	16	16
# Negative	16	15	0	0	0	15
# Positive	0	1	16	16	16	12
% Correct	100.0%	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.87%
% False	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%

**Table 10: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON810 for all participants. Table 10 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.**

Total # of Reported Results	96
# Reported Incorrect	2
% Correct	97.9%
# of Provided Positives (P)	50
# of False Negatives	0
%False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	50
# of False Positives	2
%False Positive	3.8%

**Table 11: Qualitative results for corn fortified with GA21 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

GA21	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	3.0%
1774	N	N	N	P	P	P
1785	N	N	N	P	P	P
1786	N	N	N	P	P	P
1787	N	N	N	P	P	P
1844	N	N	N	P	P	P
1854	N	P	N	P	P	P
1855	N	N	N	P	P	P
1859	N	N	N	P	P	P
1892	N	N	N	P	P	P
2032	N	N	N	P	P	P
2034	N	N	N	P	P	P
2075	N	N	N	P	P	P
2089	N	N	N	P	P	P
2095	N	N	N	P	P	P
2098		N	N	P	P	P
2112	N					
2556	N	N	N	P	P	P

Number of Results	16	16	16	16	16	16
# Negative	16	15	16	0	0	0
# Positive	0	1	0	16	16	16
% Correct	100.0%	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% False	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table 12: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for GA21 for all participants.** Table 12 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	96
# Reported Incorrect	1
% Correct	99.0%
# of Provided Positives (P)	49
# of False Negatives	0
%False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	47
# of False Positives	1
%False Positive	2.1%

**Table 13: Qualitative results for corn fortified with E176 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

E176	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	1.5%
1751	N	N	P	P	P	P
1774	N	N	P	P	P	P
1785	N	N	P	P	P	P
1786	N	N	P	P	P	P
1787	N	N	P	P	P	P
1844	N	N	P	P	P	P
1854	N	N	P	P	N	P
1855	N	N	P	P	P	P
1858	N	N	P	P	P	P
1859	N	N	P	P	P	P
1892	N	N	P	P	P	P
2032	N	N	P	P	P	P
2034	N	N	P	P	P	P
2075	N	N	P	P	P	P
2095	N	N	P	P	N	P
2112	N	N	P	P	P	P
2559	N	N	P	N	N	P

Number of Results	17	17	17	17	17	17
# Negative	17	17	0	1	3	0
# Positive	0	0	17	16	14	17
% Correct	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	94.1%	82.4%	100.0%
% False	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	17.6%	0.0%

**Table 14: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for E176 for all participants.** Table 14 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	102
# Reported Incorrect	4
% Correct	96.1%
# of Provided Positives (P)	64
# of False Negatives	4
%False Negative	5.9%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	38
# of False Positives	0
%False Positive	0.0%

**Table 15: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Bt11 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

Bt11	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	3.0%
1751	N	P	P	P	N	P
1774	N	P	N	P	N	P
1785	N	P	N	P	N	P
1786	N	P	N	P	N	P
1844	N	P	N	P	N	P
1854	N	P	N	P	N	P
1855	N	P	N	P	N	P
1858	N	P	N	P	N	P
1859	N	P	N	P	N	P
1892	N	P	N	P	N	P
2032	N	P	N	P	N	P
2034	N	P	N	P	N	P
2075	N	P	N	P	N	P
2089	N				N	P
2095	N	P	P	P	N	P
2112	N	P	N	P	N	P
2556	N	N	N	P	N	P

Number of Results	17	16	16	16	17	17
# Negative	17	1	14	0	17	0
# Positive	0	15	2	16	0	17
% Correct	100.0%	93.8%	87.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
% False	0.0%	6.3%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table 16: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Bt11 for all participants.** Table 16 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	99
# Reported Incorrect	3
% Correct	97.0%
# of Provided Positives (P)	50
# of False Negatives	1
%False Negative	2.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	49
# of False Positives	2
%False Positive	3.9%

**Table 17: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NK603 for all participants (DNA-based assays).**

NK603	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.5%	0.0%	0.8%	3.0%	1.5%
1751	N	P	N	P	P	P
1761	N	P	N	P	P	P
1774	N	P	N	P	P	P
1785	N	P	N	P	P	P
1844	N	P	N	P	P	P
1853	N	P	N	P	P	P
1854	N	P	N	P	P	P
1855	N	P	N	P	P	P
1859	N	P	N	P	P	P
2032	N	P	N	P	P	P
2034	N	P	N	P	P	P
2075	N	P	N	P	P	P
2089	N	P	N	P	P	P
2112	N					
2556	N	P	N	P	P	P

<b>Number of Results</b>	15	14	14	14	14	14
<b># Negative</b>	15	0	14	0	0	0
<b># Positive</b>	0	14	0	14	14	14
<b>% Correct</b>	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>% False</b>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table 18: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NK603 for all participants.** Table 18 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

<b>Total # of Reported Results</b>	85
<b># Reported Incorrect</b>	0
<b>% Correct</b>	100.0%
<b># of Provided Positives (P)</b>	56
<b># of False Negatives</b>	0
<b>%False Negative</b>	0.0%
<b># of Provided Negatives (N)</b>	29
<b># of False Positives</b>	0
<b>%False Positive</b>	0.0%

**Table 19: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Herculex for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

Herculex	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.1%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%
1751			N			
1774	N	P	P	P	N	N
1785	N	P	P	P	N	N
1844	N	P	P	P	N	N
1854	N	P	N	N	P	P
1855	N	P	P	P	N	N
1859	N	P	P	P	N	N
1868	N	P	P	P	N	N
2031	N	P	P	P	N	P
2032	N	P	P	P	N	N
2034	N	P	P	P	N	N
2062	N	P	N	P	N	N
2089	N	N	P	P	N	N
2095	N	P	P	P	N	N
2098		P	N	P	N	N
2112	N					
2556	N	P	P	P	N	N

N	15	15	16	15	15	15
# Neg	15	1	4	1	14	13
# Pos	0	14	12	14	1	2
% Correct	100.0%	93.3%	75.0%	93.3%	93.3%	86.7%
% False	0.0%	6.7%	25.0%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%

**Table 20: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Herculex for all participants.** Table 20 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	91
# Reported Incorrect	9
% Correct	90.1%
# of Provided Positives (P)	43
# of False Negatives	6
%False Negative	12.2%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	48
# of False Positives	3
%False Positive	5.9%

**Table 21: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON863 for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

MON863	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.5%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1778	N	P	P	N	N	N
1785	N	P	P	N	N	N
1786	N	P	P	N	N	N
1848	N	P	P	N	N	N
1855	N	P	P	N	N	P
1858	N	P	P	N	N	N
1868	N	P	P	N	N	N
2034	N	P	P	N	N	N
2045	N	P	P	N	N	N
2089	N	P	P	N	N	N
2095	N	P	P	N	N	N
2098	N	P	P	N	N	N
2108		P	P	N	N	N
2559	N	P	P	N	N	N

Number of Results	13	14	14	14	14	14
# Negative	13	0	0	14	14	13
# Positive	0	14	14	0	0	1
% Correct	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.9%

**Table 22: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON863 for all participants.** Table 22 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	83
# Reported Incorrect	1
% Correct	98.8%
# of Provided Positives (P)	29
# of False Negatives	0
%False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	54
# of False Positives	1
%False Positive	1.8%

**Table 23: Qualitative results for soybeans fortified with CP4 EPSPS for all participants (DNA-based assays). (N=negative, P=positive)**

CP4 EPSPS	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
	0.00	0.10	1.50	3.00
1774	N	P		P
1786	N		P	P
1787	N		P	
			P	
1844	N	P		
		P		
1848		N	P	P
1854	N		P	
	N			
1858	N	P		
		P		
1859		P		P
				P
2108	N		P	
			P	
2112	N	P		
		P		
2122	N	P		
	N			
2559	N	P	P	

<b>Number of Results</b>	12	11	8	5
<b># Negative</b>	12	1	0	0
<b># Positive</b>	0	10	8	5
<b>% Correct</b>	100%	91%	100%	100%

**Table 24: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CP4 EPSPS for all participants. Table 24 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.**


<b>Total # of Reported Results</b>	36
<b># Reported Incorrect</b>	1
<b>% Correct</b>	97.2%
<b># of Provided Positives (P)</b>	23
<b># of False Negatives</b>	0
<b>%False Negative</b>	0.0%
<b># of Provided Negatives (N)</b>	13
<b># of False Positives</b>	1
<b>%False Positive</b>	7.7%



**Table 25: Percentage of correct results in Qualitative reports for each transgenic event for all participants.** N = number of results submitted. Table 2 includes information for the provided positive (+) and negative (-) results and the corresponding % false positive and % false negative results for each event. [(incorrectly reported result /Number (+) or (-)) x 100]

Event	35S	NOS	T25	CBH351	MON810	GA21	E176	Bt11	NK603	Herculex	MON863	RUR
N	245	216	102	115	96	96	102	99	85	91	83	36
Reported Incorrect	0	4	3	11	2	1	4	3	0	9	1	1
% Correct	100.0%	98.1%	97.1%	90.4%	97.9%	99.0%	96.1%	97.0%	100.0%	90.1%	98.8%	97.2%
Provided (+)	204	176	63	50	50	49	64	50	56	43	29	23
False Negatives	0	4	3	9	0	0	4	1	0	6	0	0
%False Negative	0.0%	2.2%	4.5%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	2.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	0.0%
Provided (-)	41.0	176	39	65	50	47	38	49	29	48	55	13
False Positives	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	2	0	3	1	1
%False Positive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	3.8%	2.1%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	5.9%	1.8%	7.7%

**Figure 1. Group average of percentage correct for Qualitative reports on each event combined with the total number of results reported using DNA-based testing.** Events labeled as 35S through MON863 were assayed in corn samples. The soybean samples contained the glyphosate tolerant event (RoundUp Ready/RUR) producing the CP4 EPSPS protein. Numbers embedded in the histogram represent the total number of reported results for that event. Data are shown on a composite basis (i.e., all participants results combined)



**Table 26: Qualitative results for corn fortified with T25 - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing). (N=negative, P=positive)**

T25	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.5
1782	N	N	P	N	P	P
<b>Number of Results</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b># Negative</b>	1	1	0	1	0	0
<b># Positive</b>	0	0	1	0	1	1
<b>% Correct</b>	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	100%

**Table 27: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for T25 for all participants. (LFS)** Table 27 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

<b>Total # of Reported Results</b>	6
<b>Reported Incorrect</b>	3
<b>% Correct</b>	50.0%
<b># of Provided Positive (P) Results</b>	3
<b># of False Negatives</b>	3
<b>% False Negative</b>	50.0%
<b># of Provided Negatives (N) Results</b>	3
<b># of False Positives</b>	3
<b>% False Positive</b>	50.0%

**Table 28: Qualitative results for corn fortified with CBH351 - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing). (N=negative, P=positive)**

CBH351	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	3.0	0.1
1751	N	N	P	N	P	P
1762	N	P	N	N	P	P
1782	N	N	P	N	P	P
1851	N	N	P	N	P	P
<b>Number of Results</b>	4	4	4	4	4	4
<b># Negative</b>	4	3	1	4	0	0
<b># Positive</b>	0	1	3	0	4	4
<b>% Correct</b>	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table 29: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for CBH351 for all participants. (LFS)** Table 29 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

<b>Total # of Reported Results</b>	24
<b>Reported Incorrect</b>	2
<b>% Correct</b>	91.7%
<b># of Provided Positives (P)</b>	12
<b># of False Negatives</b>	1
<b>% False Negative</b>	7.7%
<b># of Provided Negatives (N)</b>	12
<b># of False Positives</b>	1
<b>% False Positive</b>	7.7%

**Table 30: Qualitative results for corn fortified with NK603 - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing). (N=negative, P=positive)**

NK603	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.8	3.0	1.5
1762	N	P	N	P	P	P
1782	N	P	N	P	P	P
1851	N	P	N	P	P	P

Number of Results	3	3	3	3	3	3
# Negative	3	0	0	0	0	0
# Positive	0	3	3	3	3	3
% Correct	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table 31: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for NK603 for all participants. (LFS)** Table 31 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	18
Reported Incorrect	0
% Correct	100.0%
# of Provided Positives (P)	12
# of False Negatives	0
% False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	6
# of False Positives	0
% False Positive	0.0%

**Table 32: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Herculex - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing). (N=negative, P=positive)**

Herculex	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.0	0.0
1851	N	P	N	P	N	N

Number of Results	1	1	1	1	1	1
# Negative	1	0	1	0	1	1
# Positive	0	1	0	1	0	0
% Correct	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table 33: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for Herculex for all participants. (LFS)** Table 33 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	6
Reported Incorrect	1
% Correct	83.3%
# of Provided Positives (P)	2
# of False Negatives	1
% False Negative	33.3%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	4
# of False Positives	0
% False Positive	0.0%

**Table 34: Qualitative results for corn fortified with MON863 - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing). (N=negative, P=positive)**

Mon863	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0	0.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
1851			P	N	N	N

Number of Results			1	1	1	1
# Negative			0	1	1	1
# Positive			1	0	0	0
% Correct			0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table 35: Percentage of correct results in qualitative reports for MON863 for all participants. (LFS)** Table 35 also includes % False Positive and % False Negative for this event.

Total # of Reported Results	4
Reported Incorrect	0
% Correct	100.0%
# of Provided Positives (P)	1
# of False Negatives	0
% False Negative	0.0%
# of Provided Negatives (N)	3
# of False Positives	0
% False Positive	0.0%

**Table 36: Qualitative results for corn fortified with Cry1Ab protien - Lateral Flow Strip (LFS) Testing (Protein-based testing) (N=negative, P=positive)**

Cry1Ab	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Sample 6
Participant #	0.0					
1762	N	N	N	P	P	P
1782	N	N	N	P	P	P

Number of Results	2	2	2	2	2	2
# Negative	2	2	0	0	0	2
# Positive	0	0	2	2	2	0

Cry1Ab contains Bt11 (high-expressing in kernels, MON810 (medium-expressing in kernels), and Event 176 (low-expressing in kernels).

Sample 1: contained no Bt11, no MON810, and no Event 176;  
 Sample 2: contained 0.1% Bt11, no MON810, and no Event 176;  
 Sample 3: contained no Bt11, 0.4% MON810, and 0.4% Event 176;  
 Sample 4: contained 0.4% Bt11, 0.8 MON810, and 0.1% Event 176;  
 Sample 5: contained no Bt11, 1.5% MON810, and 0.1% Event 176;  
 Sample 6: contained 3.0 Bt11, 0.0% MON810, and 1.5% Event 176.

**Table 37: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with T25 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: T25									
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 1.0 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.40 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.5 (w/w%)		
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	
1754	1.4	0.14	0.6	0.24	0.2	0.17	0.7	0.27	
1755	0.6	-0.14	0.3	-0.12	0.1	0.00	0.2	-0.40	
1761	1.6	0.21	0.8	0.48	1.1	1.66	0.6	0.13	
1768	0.50	-0.18	0.20	-0.24	0.10	0.00	0.20	-0.40	
1769	0.44	-0.20	0.17	-0.28	0.03	-0.12	0.16	-0.46	
1770	1.4	0.14	0.9	0.60	1.3	1.99	0.5	0.00	
1778	1.4	0.14	0.5	0.12	0.2	0.17	0.6	0.13	
1780	1.43	0.15	0.48	0.10	0.11	0.02	0.49	-0.01	
1865	1.3	0.11	0.4	0.00	0.2	0.17	0.8	0.40	
1868	*12.7	<b>4.10</b>	*3.7	<b>3.97</b>	2.1	<b>3.32</b>	*3.3	<b>3.75</b>	
1870	1.3	0.11	0.5	0.12	0.3	0.33	0.6	0.13	
1871	1.30	0.11	0.50	0.12	0.10	0.00	0.5	0.00	
2050	0.80	-0.07	0.50	0.12	1.40	2.16	0.50	0.00	
2057	0.92	-0.03	1.42	1.23	0.29	0.32	1.55	1.41	
2062	0.29	-0.25	0.11	-0.35	0.12	0.03	0.13	-0.50	
2098	0.91	-0.03	0.35	-0.06	0.43	0.55	0.74	0.32	
2129	1.8	0.28	0.7	0.30	0.23	0.22	1.1	0.75	

**Table 38: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with MON 863 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: MON 863					
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.50 (w/w%)			Fortified @ 0.80 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	
1754	0.2	-0.43	0.3	-0.42	
1755	0.7	0.28	0.9	0.08	
1768	0.38	-0.17	0.38	-0.35	
1769	0.62	0.17	0.81	0.01	
1770	0.7	0.28	1.3	0.42	
1778	0.6	0.15	0.9	0.08	
1780	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.00	
1865	0.5	0.00	0.7	-0.08	
1868	0.4	-0.14	0.6	-0.17	
1870	0.9	0.57	1.0	0.17	
1871	0.60	0.14	1.00	0.17	
2050	0.60	0.14	1.30	0.42	
2057	0.50	0.00	*4.07	<b>2.73</b>	
2060	*3.1	<b>3.69</b>	*4.1	<b>2.76</b>	
2062	0.43	-0.10	0.36	-0.37	

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.

**Table 39: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with E176 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: E176								
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.4 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 1.5 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.1	-0.74	0.05	-0.32	0.05	-1.26	0.3	-1.05
1755	0.3	-0.25	0.1	0.00	0.0	<b>-2.52</b>	0.8	-0.61
1761	0.4	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.9	-0.53
1768	0.16	-0.59	0.05	-0.32	0.05	-1.26	0.29	-1.06
1769	0.24	-0.39	0.07	-0.19	0.07	-0.76	0.77	-0.64
1770	0.5	0.25	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	1.0	-0.44
1778	0.4	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	1.3	-0.18
1780	0.35	-0.12	0.10	0.00	0.07	-0.76	1.03	-0.41
1853	0.2	-0.49	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.5	-0.88
1865	0.4	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	1.5	0.00
1868	0.1	-0.74	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.4	-0.96
1870	0.3	-0.25	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	1.0	-0.44
1871	0.40	0.00	0.08	-0.13	0.06	-1.01	1.0	-0.44
1892	0.2	-0.49	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.4	-0.96
2050	0.80	0.99	0.20	0.65	0.10	0.00	1.80	0.26
2057	*2.00	<b>3.95</b>	*0.77	<b>4.35</b>	0.11	0.25	3.00	1.32
2060	0.3	-0.25	0.0	-0.65			0.7	-0.70
2062	0.13	-0.67	0.04	-0.40	0.00	<b>-2.52</b>	0.38	-0.98
2098	0.64	0.59	0.15	0.32	0.09	-0.25	1.87	0.32
2129	0.10	-0.74	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.17	-1.17
2556	0.1	-0.74	0.0	-0.65	0.0	<b>-2.52</b>	*5.3	<b>3.33</b>
2559	0.20	-0.49	0.00	-0.65	0.00	<b>-2.52</b>	0.50	-0.88

**Table 40: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with Herculex using DNA-based Assays**

Event: Herculex						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.80 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.05	-0.79	0.05	-0.45	0.2	-0.90
1755	0.0	-1.58	0.1	0.00	1.1	0.45
1768	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.24	-0.84
1769	0.02	-1.26	0.02	-0.72	0.20	-0.90
1770	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.5	-0.45
1778	0.2	1.58	0.1	0.00	0.9	0.15
1780	0.08	-0.32	0.10	0.00	0.81	0.01
1853	0.0	-1.58	0.2	0.90	0.2	-0.90
1865	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.5	-0.45
1870	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.9	0.15
1871	0.03	-1.11	0.05	-0.45	0.40	-0.60
2050	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.60	-0.30
2057	0.16	0.95	*0.49	<b>3.52</b>	1.76	1.44
2060	0.2	1.58	0.2	0.90	*2.6	<b>2.69</b>
2129	0.1	0.00	0.1	0.00	0.29	-0.76

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.

**Table 41: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with MON810 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: MON810						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.40 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.80 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 1.50 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.2	0.32	0.5	0.41	0.6	0.66
1755	0.3	0.49	*4.1	<b>3.40</b>	*4.3	<b>4.75</b>
1761	0.4	0.65	0.8	0.66	1.2	1.32
1763	0.24	0.39	0.57	0.47	0.68	0.75
1768	0.10	0.16	0.30	0.25	0.48	0.53
1769	0.23	0.37	0.47	0.39	0.95	1.05
1770	0.5	0.81	1.1	0.91	1.4	1.55
1778	0.2	0.32	0.5	0.41	0.7	0.77
1780	0.40	0.65	0.81	0.67	1.41	1.56
1853	0.2	0.32	0.4	0.33	1.6	1.77
1865	0.2	0.32	0.5	0.41	0.7	0.77
1868	0.2	0.32	0.4	0.33	0.6	0.66
1870	0.2	0.32	0.4	0.33	0.7	0.77
1871	0.20	0.32	0.50	0.41	0.90	0.99
1892	0.8	1.30	1.0	0.83	1.7	1.88
2031	0.2	0.32	0.7	0.58	1.4	1.55
2050	0.50	0.81	1.20	0.99	1.10	1.21
2057	0.73	1.18	*3.6	<b>2.98</b>	0.94	1.04
2060	0.1	0.16	0.9	0.75		
2062	0.68	1.10	1.50	1.24	*6.2	<b>6.84</b>
2098	0.24	0.39	1.02	0.85	2.41	<b>2.66</b>
2129	0.10	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.27	0.30
2556	1.0	1.62	1.0	0.83	1.3	1.44

**Table 42: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with CBH351 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: CBH351						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.40 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 3.0 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.2	-0.86	2.8	-0.04	0.05	-1.00
1755	0.3	-0.43	2.1	-0.16	*0.0	<b>-2.01</b>
1768	0.18	-0.94	1.45	-0.28	0.05	-1.00
1778	0.5	0.43	3.4	0.07	*0.2	<b>2.01</b>
1853	0.3	-0.43	3.0	0.00	0.1	0.00
1865	0.3	-0.43	3.0	0.00	0.1	0.00
1868	0.1	-1.29	*21.0	<b>3.24</b>	0.1	0.00
1870	0.4	0.00	2.7	-0.05	0.1	0.00
2050	0.50	0.43	2.20	-0.14	0.10	0.00
2057	*0.95	<b>2.36</b>	2.86	-0.03	0.10	0.00
2129	0.23	-0.73	3.1	0.02	0.1	0.40

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.

Table 43: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with NK603 using DNA-based Assays

Event: NK603								
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.5 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.80 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 3.0 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 1.5 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.2	-1.47	0.4	-0.83	1.1	-1.60	0.5	-1.60
1755	0.6	0.49	0.9	0.21	1.9	-0.93	0.8	-1.12
1768	0.37	-0.64	0.64	-0.33	1.59	-1.19	0.92	-0.93
1769	0.55	0.25	0.60	-0.41	2.83	-0.14	1.20	-0.48
1770	0.6	0.49	1.1	0.62	1.6	-1.18	0.7	-1.28
1778	0.6	0.49	1.3	1.03	3.2	0.17	1.6	0.16
1780	0.59	0.44	1.24	0.91	2.86	-0.12	1.36	-0.22
1865	0.5	0.00	1.1	0.62	3.2	0.17	1.5	0.00
1868	0.6	0.49	1.4	1.24	2.1	-0.76	1.0	-0.80
1870	0.6	0.49	1.0	0.41	3.4	0.34	1.4	-0.16
1871	0.70	0.98	1.00	0.41	2.90	-0.08	1.1	-0.64
2050	0.50	0.00	1.10	0.62	1.50	-1.26	0.60	-1.44
2057	0.49	-0.05	*2.29	<b>3.08</b>	2.97	-0.03	2.54	1.66
2060	0.0	<b>-2.46</b>	0.7	-0.21			1.0	-0.80
2062	0.67	0.83	1.30	1.03	*5.30	1.94	2.50	1.60
2098	0.32	-0.88	0.70	-0.21	2.76	-0.20	1.09	-0.66
2129	0.10	-1.96	0.10	-1.45	0.10	<b>-2.44</b>	0.19	<b>-2.10</b>

Table 44: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with Bt11 using DNA-based Assays

Event: Bt11						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.40 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 3.0 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.05	-0.88	0.4	0.00	2.3	-0.66
1755	0.1	0.00	0.6	0.88	2.8	-0.19
1761	0.2	1.77	0.7	1.32	3.0	0.00
1768	0.10	0.00	0.10	-1.32	0.65	<b>-2.21</b>
1769	0.10	0.00	0.45	0.22	2.62	-0.36
1770	0.2	1.77	0.8	1.76	2.8	-0.19
1778	0.1	0.00	0.6	0.88	3.2	0.19
1780	0.11	0.18	0.51	0.48	3.02	0.02
1853	0.2	1.77	0.5	0.44	3.0	0.00
1865	0.1	0.00	0.6	0.88	3.4	0.38
1868	0.2	1.77	0.7	1.32	3.9	0.85
1870	0.1	0.00	0.45	0.22	3.0	0.00
1871	0.06	-0.71	0.40	0.00	2.5	-0.47
2050	0.00	-1.77	1.00	<b>2.64</b>	4.80	1.69
2057	0.16	1.06	0.63	1.01	1.17	-1.72
2060	0.1	0.00	0.6	0.88	4.0	0.94
2062		-1.77	0.20	-0.88	1.20	-1.69
2098	0.08	-0.35	0.23	-0.75	2.48	-0.49
2129	0.10	0.00	0.17	-1.01	1.09	-1.80

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.



**Table 45: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Corn Fortified with GA21 using DNA-based Assays**

Event: GA21						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.40 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 0.5 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 3.0 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
1754	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.6	2.1	-0.3
1755	0.2	-0.5	0.2	-1.7	0.9	-0.7
1761	0.4	0.0	0.3	-1.1	2.0	-0.3
1768	0.34	-0.1	0.25	-1.4	1.30	-0.6
1769	0.47	0.2	0.52	0.1	2.86	0.0
1770	0.5	0.2	0.4	-0.6	2.0	-0.3
1778	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.2	0.1
1780	0.39	0.0	0.39	-0.6	2.54	-0.2
1853	0.4	0.0	0.4	-0.6	2.0	-0.3
1865	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.0	3.0	0.0
1868	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	3.6	0.2
1870	0.3	-0.2	0.2	-1.7	2.00	-0.3
1871	0.40	0.0	0.40	-0.6	2.4	-0.2
2050	0.70	0.7	0.30	-1.1	5.20	0.7
2057	1.00	1.5	0.75	1.4	3.16	0.1
2060	*1.9	<b>3.7</b>			*14.4	<b>3.7</b>
2062	0.14	-0.6	0.17	-1.9	0.90	-0.7
2129	0.10	-0.7	0.11	<b>-2.2</b>	0.62	-0.8

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.

**Table 46: Quantitative Results and z-Scores for Soybeans Fortified with RUR using DNA-based Assays**

Event: RUR						
Fortification Level (w/w%)	Fortified @ 0.10 (w/w%)		Fortified @ 1.50 (w/w%)		Fortified @3.00 (w/w%)	
Participant Number	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score	Reported Result (w/w%)	z-Score
	1754	0.1	0.00	2.0	0.41	
1755			3.00	1.22	4.80	1.34
1761	0.10	0.00	1.60	0.08		
1763	0.16	0.98	2.90	1.14		
1768	0.25	<b>2.46</b>	3.00	1.22		
	0.19	1.47			5.80	<b>2.08</b>
1769			2.84	1.09	4.89	1.40
					5.44	1.81
1770	*0.30	<b>3.28</b>				
1778			1.50	0.00		
			1.82	0.26		
1780			1.60	0.08		
1785			1.10	-0.33	1.70	-0.97
1853	0.20	1.64	1.50	0.00		
	0.10	0.00				
1855	0.10	0.00				
	0.15	0.82				
1858	0.14	0.66				
	0.10	0.00				
1865	0.10	0.00				
1868	0.10	0.00	*7.40	<b>4.80</b>		
1870	0.00	-1.64			3.80	0.59
			2.20	0.57		
1871			2.30	0.65		
1892	0.20	1.64			3.00	0.00
2031	0.14	0.66	1.50	0.00		
			2.40	0.73		
2032	0.10	0.00	2.50	-0.81		
2034			2.55	0.85	2.53	-0.35
2050			0.40	-0.90	3.00	0.00
			0.81	-0.56		
2057			1.15	-0.28		
	0.10	0.00				
2060	0.10	0.00				
2062			1.10	-0.33		
2075	0.10	0.00	2.0	0.41		
			1.50	0.00		
2095			1.30	-0.16	3.60	0.45
2098	0.15	0.82	2.73	1.00	4.51	1.12
2118	0.19	1.47	1.75	0.20		
2129	0.16	0.98	1.83	0.27		
2556					2.00	-0.74
2559	0.10	0.00	2.50	0.81		

(Note: z-scores outside the satisfactory range, i.e.  $z > 2$ , are shown in **bold**.)

\* This result was determined to be an outlier and will not be included in the statistical analysis of the data.

**Table 47: Descriptive statistics for participant's reported quantifications relative to GIPSA fortification levels using DNA-based assays.** N = total number of quantitative results reported; Reported Mean = average of all reported quantitations; Standard Deviation of all reported quantitations; %Relative Standard Deviation = [standard deviation/mean value x 100%] for the reported means; %R.E. = percentage relative error between the fortified and reported levels [reported value – fortification value / fortification value x 100]. Outliers were determined and eliminated from final results.

Event	N - Results	Fortification (%w/w)	Reported Mean (%w/w)	Standard Deviation	% Relative Standard Deviation	% Relative Error	Range of Reported Results
T25	17	0.10	0.49	0.60	123%	389%	0.03-2.1
T25	16	0.40	0.52	0.32	61%	31%	0.11-3.7
T25	16	0.50	0.58	0.36	62%	17%	0.13-3.3
T25	16	1.0	1.09	0.46	42%	9%	0.29-12.7
CBH351	10	0.10	0.08	0.04	45%	-18%	0.00-0.2
CBH351	10	0.40	0.30	0.13	44%	-25%	0.10-0.95
CBH351	10	3.0	2.66	0.58	22%	-11%	1.45-21.0
MON810	23	0.40	0.34	0.25	72%	-14%	0.10-1.0
MON810	21	0.80	0.70	0.34	48%	-12%	0.21-4.1
MON810	20	1.50	1.05	0.51	48%	-30%	0.27-6.20
GA21	17	0.40	0.43	0.22	50%	8%	0.10-1.9
GA21	17	0.50	0.39	0.18	45%	-22%	0.11-0.75
GA21	17	3.0	2.34	1.14	49%	-22%	0.62-14.4
E176	42	0.10	0.08	0.04	57%	-23%	0.0-0.77
E176	21	0.40	0.30	0.19	62%	-25%	0.10-2.0
E176	21	1.5	0.93	0.68	72%	-38%	0.17-5.3
Bt11	18	0.10	0.11	0.06	49%	14%	0.0-0.2
Bt11	19	0.40	0.51	0.23	45%	27%	0.10-1.00
Bt11	19	3.0	2.68	1.06	40%	-11%	0.65-4.80
NK603	15	0.50	0.47	0.20	43%	-6%	0.0-70
NK603	14	0.80	0.91	0.36	40%	14%	0.10-2.29
NK603	15	1.5	1.18	0.63	53%	-22%	0.19-2.54
NK603	15	3.0	2.27	0.95	42%	-24%	0.10-5.30
Herculex	29	0.10	0.095	0.06	59%	-5%	0.0-0.49
Herculex	14	0.80	0.61	0.45	73%	-23%	0.2-2.6
MON863	13	0.50	0.55	0.17	31%	9%	0.2-3.1
MON863	13	0.80	0.80	0.33	41%	0%	0.3-4.1
RUR	24	0.10	0.13	0.05	40%	30%	0.00-0.30
RUR	28	1.50	1.91	0.70	37%	27%	0.40-7.4
RUR	12	3.00	3.76	1.35	36%	25%	1.70-5.80

## Summary of Findings

### **Qualitative Sample Analysis**

**PCR:** As evidenced by the “percentage correct scores” in Table 25 and Figure 1, participants were able to correctly identify most of the transgenic events in the corn test samples with greater than 90% to 100% accuracy through the use of conventional PCR. The best performance was observed for the detection of NK603 event while CBH351 had the highest percentage of false negatives (15.3%) and MON810 had the highest percentage of false positives (7.7%).

**Protein:** Detecting the presence or absence of the protein product of the various transgenes was done through the use of either lateral flow strips (LFS) (Tables 26 through 36). Detection by lateral flow strips displayed good overall accuracy. In most cases, a correct determination was made on four of the six corn test samples (note that most of the performance scores were greater than 83% correct). However, in the three soybean test samples all but one participant was able to detect the gene product of the RoundUp Ready insert with 100% accuracy.

### **Quantitative Sample Analysis**

Since the discovery of the polymerase chain reaction in 1985, analytical methods for the detection of nucleic acids have advanced rapidly. Real-time PCR continues to be the method of choice for the analyses of transgenic events in grains. The USDA/GIPSA proficiency program is designed to allow participating laboratories the ability to assess their individual methods for the detection and quantification of transgenic events and to compare the values of their measurements with peer laboratories. The analysis of proficiency test samples also enables laboratories to develop and validate new methods, and participation in a proficiency program is mandatory for ISO17025 certification. Overall, the performance of the participants testing for transgenic events in corn and soy was very good. GIPSA collected data for the October 2005 distribution and performed statistical analysis including a mean, standard deviation, % coefficient of variation, range, % relative error, and z-scores. Outliers were identified and not used for calculation of z-scores. Laboratories with z-scores above +2 or below -2 are advised to carefully review their procedures. Participants are encouraged to seek confidential advice from the GIPSA staff to assist with this review. There was a characteristic inverse relationship between precision (% RSD) of reported quantifications and event fortification level for most of the fortified samples. Reported quantifications were highly variable at the lowest fortification level (0.1%) while being less variable at higher fortification levels.

For the assessment of residue analytical methods in crops, food, feed and environmental samples, it is recommended that an analytical method have a % RSD below 20%. It should be noted however, that the % RSD for all transgenic events in this study was greater than 20%, and this high level of inter-laboratory variability is consistent with observations from previous studies. The lack of internationally recognized reference material for all events, genetics, matrix effects and lack of standardized methods may be contributing factors to this observed variability. Monitoring and improving the performance of laboratories that use PCR for the detection of transgenic events in grains will improve marketing and reliability of this commodity. The USDA/GIPSA proficiency testing program should be a complement to other quality assurance tools used by laboratories as they monitor their performance and improve their analytical capabilities.

**Note: It is important to understand that there are no internationally recognized standard reference materials for all transgenic events. The transgenic seed or grain used to prepare these samples was made available to GIPSA by the Life Science Organizations. Care was taken to ensure the transgenic material was either essentially 100% positive for the event, or adjusted accordingly. The fortified samples were prepared using a process that has been verified to produce homogenous mixes, and representative samples were analyzed to ensure proper fortification and homogeneity.**

To obtain additional information on the USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program, contact Mrs. Ganga Murthy, USDA/GIPSA Proficiency Program Manager, at US 816-891-0469, or by e-mail at [Ganga.Murthy@usda.gov](mailto:Ganga.Murthy@usda.gov).

**Appendix I:** List of organizations who wished to be identified as a participant in the GIPSA October 2005 Proficiency Program.

**Company**            **A. Bio. C – Molecular Biology Division**  
Route de Samadet  
64410 ARZACQ  
France  
Contact                Dr. F. Bois  
Telephone            33 5 59 04 49 20  
Fax                     33 5 59 04 49 30  
E-mail                 [bio.moleculaire@labo-abioc.fr](mailto:bio.moleculaire@labo-abioc.fr)

**Company**            **Behoerde fur Umwelt und Gesundheit**  
Institut fur Hygiene und Umwelt  
Marckmannstr.129b, Haus 6  
D20539 Hamburg  
Germany  
Contact                Norbert Hess, Ph.D.  
Telephone            +49 40 42845 3629  
Fax                     +49 40 42845 3840  
E-mail                 [Norbert.Hess@hu.hamburg.de](mailto:Norbert.Hess@hu.hamburg.de)

**Company**            **Biogenetic Services, Inc.**  
801 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
Brookings, SD 57006  
Contact                Alex Kahler or Arla Bush  
Telephone            605-697-8500  
Fax                     605-697-8507  
E-mail                 [biogene@brookings.net](mailto:biogene@brookings.net)  
Participant #        1751

**Company**            **Biolytix AG**  
Benkenstrasse 254  
CH-4108 Witterswil  
Switzerland  
Contact                Peter Brodmann  
Telephone            41 (0)61 723 20 70  
Fax                     41 (0)61 723 20 71  
E-mail                 [peter.brodmann@biolytix.ch](mailto:peter.brodmann@biolytix.ch)  
Participant #2032

**Company**            **Bureau of Food and Drug Analysis (BFDA), DOH, Taiwan**  
161-2, kuen Yang Street  
Nankang  
Taipei, Taiwan  
Contact                Dr. Lih-Ching Chiueh  
Telephone            02-26531273  
Fax                     02-26531268  
E-mail                 [clc1025@nlfd.gov.tw](mailto:clc1025@nlfd.gov.tw)  
Participant #        1780

**Company**            **Bureau of Quality and Safety of Food**  
Department of Medical Sciences  
88/7 Tiwanon Rd.  
Amphur Muang  
Nonthaburi 11000  
Bangkok  
Thailand  
Contact                Ms Nittaya Phunbua  
Telephone            66 (662) – 9510000 Ext 99514-5  
Fax                     66 (662) – 9511021  
E-mail                 [npzuki@hotmail.com](mailto:npzuki@hotmail.com)

**Company**            **Canadian Food Inspection Agency**  
**Molecular Research Lab-3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Unit**  
CPQP-Ottawa Lab Fallowfield  
3851 Fallowfield Road  
Ottawa, Ontario  
K2H 8P9  
Canada  
Contact                Cheryl Dollard  
Phone                  613 228-6698, ext 5960  
Fax                     613-228-6669  
E-mail                 [dollardc@inspection.gc.ca](mailto:dollardc@inspection.gc.ca)

**Company**                    **Chemisches Landes- und Staatliches Veterinaruntersuchungsamt**  
von-Esmarch-Str.12  
D-48147 Muenster  
Germany  
Contact                        Claudia Bruenen-Nieweler, Ph.D.  
Telephone                    49-251-9821-186  
Fax                             49-251-9821-250  
E-mail                         [nieweler@cvua.nrw.de](mailto:nieweler@cvua.nrw.de)

**Company**                    **CNTA-Laboratorio del Ebro**  
Ctra N-134 km 50  
31570 San Adrian  
Navarra  
Spain  
Telephone                    34 948 670159  
Fax                             34 948 696127  
E-mail                         [jbutron@cnta.es](mailto:jbutron@cnta.es)

**Company**                    **FASMAC CO., LTD**  
5-1-3 Midorigaoka, Atsugi-shi  
Kanagawa 243-0041  
JAPAN  
Contact                        Dr. Satoshi Futo  
Telephone                    +81 46-295-8787  
Fax                             +81 46-294-3738  
E-mail                         [yyamakoshi@fasmac.co.jp](mailto:yyamakoshi@fasmac.co.jp)

**Company**                    **G3ówny Inspektorat Sanitarny**  
ul. Długa 38/40  
00 -238 Warsaw  
Poland  
Contact                        Izabela Tańska  
Chief Sanitary Inspectorate, POLAND  
Telephone                    0048 22 536 13 36  
Fax                             0048 22 635 61 94  
E-mail                         [I.Tanska@gis.gov.pl](mailto:I.Tanska@gis.gov.pl)  
Participant #                 2112

**Company**                    **GeneScan USA, Inc.**  
2315 N. Causeway Blvd.  
Metairie, LA 70001  
Contact                        Dr. Frank Spiegelhalter  
Telephone                    504-398-0940  
Fax                             504-398-0945  
E-mail                         [fspiegel@gmotesting.com](mailto:fspiegel@gmotesting.com)  
                                     [gregorydiitta@eurofinsus.com](mailto:gregorydiitta@eurofinsus.com)

**Company**                    **Genetic ID NA**  
501 Dimick Drive  
Fairfield, Iowa 52557  
Contact                        Jane Pappin/Bernd Schoel  
Telephone                    641-472-9979, ext 124  
Fax                             641-472-9198  
E-mail                         [jpappin@genetic-id.com/bshoel@genetic-id.com](mailto:jpappin@genetic-id.com/bshoel@genetic-id.com)

**Company**                    **IBET Instituto de Biologia Experimental e Tecnolog**  
Contact                        Apartado 12  
Telephone                    2781-901  
                                     Oeiras  
                                     Portugal  
Telephone                    0351 21 446 95 51/784/785  
Fax                             0351 21 441 70 62  
E-mail                         [tcrespo@itqb.ull.pt](mailto:tcrespo@itqb.ull.pt) or spinola or smartins  
Participant #                 2556

**Company**                    **IdentiGEN**  
Unit 9, Trinity Enterprise Center  
Pearse Street  
Dublin 2  
Ireland  
Contact                        Ronan Loftus, Ph.D., \*Robert, O'Dwyer  
Telephone                    353 1 677-0220  
Fax                             353 1 677-0221  
E-mail                         [rodwyer@identigen.com](mailto:rodwyer@identigen.com)  
Participant #                 1774

**Company** **JenaGen GmbH**  
Address JenaGen Diagnostik-Gentechnik-Biotechnologie  
Loebstedter Str. 78  
D-07749 Jena  
Germany

Contact Dr. Reinhard Baier  
Telephone +49(0)3641-464913  
Fax: +49(0)3641-464991  
E-mail: [r.baier@jenagen.de](mailto:r.baier@jenagen.de)

**Company** **Kantonales Labor Basel-Stadt**  
Kannenfeldstrasse 2  
Postfach  
CH-4012 Basel  
Switzerland

Contact Philipp Huebner, Ph.D.  
Telephone +41 61 385 25 27  
Fax +41 61 385 25 09  
E-mail [philipp.huebner@kl.bs.ch](mailto:philipp.huebner@kl.bs.ch)

**Company** **Laboratorio CHMICO CCIAA TORINO**  
Via Vettimiglia 165  
10127 Torino, Italy

Contact Filippo  
Telephone 390116700111  
Fax 390116700100  
e-mail [filippo.odasso@lab-to.camcom.it](mailto:filippo.odasso@lab-to.camcom.it)

**Company** **Laboratorio COOP ITALIA**  
Via del Lavoro 6/8  
40033 Casalecchio di Reno  
Bologna, Italy

Contact Dr. Martino Barbanera/ Dr. Sonia Scaramagli  
Telephone 0039-051-596172  
Fax 0039-051596170  
e-mail [martino.barbanera@coopitalia.coop.it/sonia.scaramagli@coopitalia.coop.it](mailto:martino.barbanera@coopitalia.coop.it/sonia.scaramagli@coopitalia.coop.it)

**Company** **Laborzentrum Ettlingen - Karlsruhe**  
Otto-Hahn-Straße 18  
76275 Ettlingen  
Germany

Contact Dr. Ralf Bauerndistel  
Telephone 0049 7243 516 315  
Fax 0049 7243 516 166  
E-mail: [r.bauerndistel@ls-medserve.de](mailto:r.bauerndistel@ls-medserve.de)  
Participant # 2129

**Company** **Landesuntersuchungsanstalt für das Gesundheits-und Veterinarwesen Sachsen**  
Sitz Dresden  
Amtliche Lebensmittelüberwachung  
Fachgebiet 6.6  
Jägerstraße 10  
D – 01099 Dresden  
Germany

Contact Mrs. Gerda Hempel  
Telephone +49-0351-8144-149  
Fax +49-0351-8144-227  
E-mail [gerda.hempel@lua.sms.sachsen.de](mailto:gerda.hempel@lua.sms.sachsen.de)

**Company** **LAV Sachsen-Anhalt**  
Freiimfelder Str. 66/68  
D-061112 Halle  
Germany

Contact Dr. Dietrich Maede  
Telephone +49 345 5643 222  
Fax +49 345 5643 439  
E-mail [dietrich.maede@hal.lav.ms.lsa-net.de](mailto:dietrich.maede@hal.lav.ms.lsa-net.de)  
Participant # 1870

**Company** **LUFA Augustenberg**  
D 76227 Karlsruhe  
Nesslerstr. 23  
Germany

Contact Dr. Brigitte Roth  
Telephone 49 721 9468 225  
Fax 49 721 9468 387  
E-mail [Brigitte.roth@lufa.bwl.de](mailto:Brigitte.roth@lufa.bwl.de)  
Participant # 2098



**Company**            **LUFA Speyer**  
Obere Langgasse 40  
D-67346 Speyer  
Germany

Contact                Dr. Diana Hormisch  
Telephone             49 6232 136 291  
Fax                      49 6232 136 110  
E-mail                  [hormisch@lufa-speyer.de](mailto:hormisch@lufa-speyer.de)

**Company**            **Mid-West Seed Services**  
236 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue  
Brookings, SD 57006  
Contact                Kalyb Brix-Davis  
Telephone             605-692-7611  
Fax                      605-692-7617  
E-mail                  [kalybn@mwseed.com](mailto:kalybn@mwseed.com)  
Participant #           1844

**Company**            **National Institute of Biology**  
Vecna pot 111  
1000 Ljubljana  
Slovenia

Contact                Dr. Jana Zel  
Telephone             +386 1 4233388  
Fax                      +386 1 2573 847  
E-Mail                  [jana.zel@nib.si](mailto:jana.zel@nib.si)

**Company**            **Nippon Yuryo Kentei Kyokai Yokohama Laboratory**  
(Japan Oil Stuff Inspectors Corporation)  
Bankokubashi Bldg 5-26-1  
Kaigan-dori Naka-ku  
231-0002, Yokohama  
Japan

Contact                Ms Kumi Goto  
Telephone             045-641-1037  
Fax                      045-641-1038  
E-mail                  [goto@nykk.or.jp](mailto:goto@nykk.or.jp)  
Participant #           1782

**Company**            **OMIC USA Inc.**  
3344 NW Industrial Street  
Portland, OR 97210

Contact                Dr. Farin Hajar  
Telephone             503-223-1497  
Fax                      503-223-9436  
E-mail                  [dna.us@omicnet.com](mailto:dna.us@omicnet.com) [h.iwaya@omicnet.com](mailto:h.iwaya@omicnet.com)

**Company**            **Pioneer Hi-Bred**  
10700 Justin Drive  
Urbandale, IA 50322

Contact                Dr. Beni Kaufman.  
Telephone             515-334-6478  
Fax                      515-334-6431  
E-mail                  [benjamin.kaufman@pioneer.com](mailto:benjamin.kaufman@pioneer.com)

**Company**            **Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences**  
Address                Agri-Biotech Center  
Shanghai JiaoTong University  
Dongchuan Road 800  
Shanghai 200240 P.R.China 200240

Contact                Dabing Zhang  
Telephone             0086-21-34205073  
Fax                      0086-21-34204689  
E-mail                  [ylltt@hotmail.com](mailto:ylltt@hotmail.com)  
Participant #           [zhangdb@sjtu.edu.cn](mailto:zhangdb@sjtu.edu.cn)  
2113

**Company**            **Silliker, Inc.**  
405 8th Ave SE  
Cedar Rapids, IA 52401

Contact                Dr. Daniel Wetsch  
Telephone             319-366-3570  
Fax                      319-366-4018  
E-mail                  [daniel.wetsch@silliker.com](mailto:daniel.wetsch@silliker.com)

**Company** **Sistemas Genomicos S. L.**  
Valencia Technology Parck,  
C/Benjamin Franklin Avenue, 12  
E-46980 Paterna Valencia  
Spain  
Contact Dr. Carlos Ruiz Lafora or \*Angela Pérez Pérez  
Telephone 34 902 364 669  
Fax 34 902 364 670  
E-mail [carlos.ruiz@sistemasgenomicos.com](mailto:carlos.ruiz@sistemasgenomicos.com) [www.sistemasgenomicos.com](http://www.sistemasgenomicos.com)  
Participant # 1785

**Company S** **Syngenta Seeds Ltda**  
Rod BR 452 Km 142  
CEP 38400-974  
Uberlandia-MG  
Brazil  
38405-232  
Contact Cristhiane Abegg Bothona  
Phone 55-34-32334500  
FAX 55-34-32166537  
E-mail [katia.bernardeli@syngenta.com](mailto:katia.bernardeli@syngenta.com)

**Company** **TECAM**  
Rua Fabia, 59  
Sao Paulo – SP – CEP: 05051-030  
Brazil  
Contact Dr. Janete Moura or Renata do Val  
Telephone 55 11 3873 2553  
Fax 55 11 3862 8954  
E-mail [biomol@tecam.com.br](mailto:biomol@tecam.com.br) [microbiol@tecam.com.br](mailto:microbiol@tecam.com.br)

**Company** **Uberlandia - MG**  
Regional Lab of Genetically Modified Food  
39-400 Tarnobrzeg  
1-go Maja Street 5  
E-mail [gmo@pis-tbg.one.pl](mailto:gmo@pis-tbg.one.pl)

**Company:** **USDA AMS FLS National Science Laboratory**  
801 Summit Crossing Place, Suite B  
Gastonia, NC 28054  
USA  
Contact: Michael Sussman  
Telephone: 704-867-3873  
FAX: 704-853-2800  
E-mail: [michael.sussman@usda.gov](mailto:michael.sussman@usda.gov)

**Company** **Warnex Research Inc**  
3885 boul. Industriel  
Laval, Quebec Canada  
H7L 4S3  
Contact Yvan côté, Ph.D./Francis Deshaies, Ph.D.  
Phone: (450) 663-6724  
Fax: (450) 669-2784  
E-mail [fdeshaies@warnex.ca](mailto:fdeshaies@warnex.ca)  
[ycote@warnex.ca](mailto:ycote@warnex.ca)