UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re:)	P & S Docket No. D-07-0193
)	
Mark Wright,)	
)	Decision and Order
Respondent)	by Reason of Default

The Complaint, filed on September 13, 2007, alleged that the Respondent Mark Wright was, in 2006, engaged in the business of buying livestock in commerce on a commission basis without registering as a market agency with the Secretary of Agriculture and without maintaining an adequate bond or bond equivalent, and thereby willfully violated the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended and supplemented (7 U.S.C. § 181, et seq.) (frequently herein the "Packers and Stockyards Act" or the "Act").

Parties and Counsel

The Complainant is the Deputy Administrator, Packers and Stockyards Program,
Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA), United States
Department of Agriculture (frequently herein "Packers and Stockyards" or "Complainant").
Packers and Stockyards is represented by Tonya Keusseyan, Esq. and Mary Hobbie, Esq.
with the Office of the General Counsel (Trade Practices Division), United States
Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-1413.

The Respondent is Mark Wright, an individual (frequently herein "Respondent Wright" or "Respondent").

Procedural History

Packers and Stockyards's Motion for Decision Without Hearing by Reason of Default, filed February 5, 2008, is before me. Respondent Wright was served on or before February 15, 2008 with a copy of that Motion and a copy of the proposed Decision and has failed to respond.

The Hearing Clerk mailed a copy of the Complaint to Respondent Wright by certified mail on September 13, 2007, together with a copy of the Hearing Clerk's notice letter and a copy of the Rules of Practice. Respondent Wright was served on October 2, 2007 with the copy of the Complaint and failed to answer. The Respondent's answer was due to be filed within 20 days after service, according to section 1.136(a) of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)). The time for filing an answer to the Complaint expired on October 22, 2007. The Respondent failed to file an answer, so the Respondent is in default, pursuant to section 1.136(c) of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.136(c)).

Failure to file an answer within the time provided under 7 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) shall be deemed an admission of the allegations in the complaint (7 C.F.R. §1.136(c)). Failure to file an answer constitutes a waiver of hearing (7 C.F.R. § 1.139). Accordingly, the material facts alleged in the Complaint, which are admitted by the Respondent's default, are adopted and set forth herein as Findings of Fact. This Decision and Order, therefore, is issued

pursuant to section 1.139 of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.139). See 7 C.F.R. §1.130 et seq.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Respondent Mark Wright is an individual whose mailing address is 98 4th, Springer, New Mexico 87747.
- 2. Respondent Wright, at all times material to the Complaint, and particularly during February 15, 2006 through August 30, 2006, was engaged in the business of buying livestock in commerce on a commission basis within the meaning of and subject to the provisions of the Packers and Stockyards Act.
- 3. Respondent Wright, at all times material to the Complaint, was not registered with the Secretary of Agriculture as a market agency to buy livestock on a commission basis, as required under the Packers and Stockyards Act.
- 4. Respondent Wright, at all times material to the Complaint, did not maintain an adequate bond or bond equivalent, as required under the Packers and Stockyards Act.

Conclusions

- 1. The Secretary of Agriculture has jurisdiction.
- 2. By reason of the facts alleged in paragraphs II and III of the Complaint, Respondent Mark Wright has willfully violated sections 312(a) of the Act (7 U.S.C. § 213(a)), and sections 201.29 and 201.30 of the Regulations (9 C.F.R. §§ 201.29, 201.30).

4

Order

Respondent Mark Wright, his agents and employees, directly or through any

corporate or other device, in connection with operations subject to the Packers and

Stockyards Act, shall cease and desist from engaging in operations subject to the Act

without being properly registered with the Secretary of Agriculture and without first

obtaining the requisite bond or bond equivalent.

Finality

This Decision and Order shall be final and effective without further proceedings 35

days after service unless an appeal to the Judicial Officer is filed with the Hearing Clerk

within 30 days after service, pursuant to section 1.145 of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. §

1.145, see attached Appendix A).

Copies of this Decision and Order shall be served by the Hearing Clerk upon each of

the parties.

Done at Washington, D.C.

this 21st day of March 2008

Jill S. Clifton

Administrative Law Judge

Hearing Clerk's Office U.S. Department of Agriculture South Bldg Room 1031 1400 Independence Ave SW Washington DC 20250-9203

202-720-4443

Fax: 202-720-9776

APPENDIX A

7 C.F.R.:

TITLE 7—-AGRICULTURE

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

PART 1—-ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS

. . . .

SUBPART H—RULES OF PRACTICE GOVERNING FORMAL

ADJUDICATORY PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY THE SECRETARY UNDER

VARIOUS STATUTES

. . .

§ 1.145 Appeal to Judicial Officer.

- (a) Filing of petition. Within 30 days after receiving service of the Judge's decision, if the decision is a written decision, or within 30 days after issuance of the Judge's decision, if the decision is an oral decision, a party who disagrees with the decision, any part of the decision, or any ruling by the Judge or who alleges any deprivation of rights, may appeal the decision to the Judicial Officer by filing an appeal petition with the Hearing Clerk. As provided in
- § 1.141(h)(2), objections regarding evidence or a limitation regarding examination or cross-examination or other ruling made before the Judge may be relied upon in an appeal. Each issue set forth in the appeal petition and the arguments regarding each issue shall be separately numbered; shall be plainly and concisely stated; and shall contain detailed citations to the record, statutes, regulations, or authorities being relied upon in support of each argument. A brief may be filed in support of the appeal simultaneously with the appeal petition.
- (b) Response to appeal petition. Within 20 days after the service of a copy of an appeal petition and any brief in support thereof, filed by a party to the proceeding, any other party may file with the Hearing Clerk a response in support of or in opposition to the appeal and in such response any relevant issue, not presented in the appeal petition, may be raised.
- (c) Transmittal of record. Whenever an appeal of a Judge's decision is filed and a response thereto has been filed or time for filing a response has expired, the Hearing Clerk shall transmit to the Judicial Officer the record of the proceeding. Such record shall include: the pleadings; motions and requests filed and rulings thereon; the transcript or recording of the testimony taken at the hearing, together with the exhibits filed in connection therewith; any documents or papers filed in connection with a pre-hearing conference; such proposed findings of fact, conclusions, and orders, and briefs in support thereof, as may have been filed in connection with the proceeding; the Judge's decision; such exceptions, statements of objections and briefs in support thereof as may have been filed in the proceeding; and the appeal petition,

and such briefs in support thereof and responses thereto as may have been filed in the proceeding.

- (d) *Oral argument*. A party bringing an appeal may request, within the prescribed time for filing such appeal, an opportunity for oral argument before the Judicial Officer. Within the time allowed for filing a response, appellee may file a request in writing for opportunity for such an oral argument. Failure to make such request in writing, within the prescribed time period, shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. The Judicial Officer may grant, refuse, or limit any request for oral argument. Oral argument shall not be transcribed unless so ordered in advance by the Judicial Officer for good cause shown upon request of a party or upon the Judicial Officer's own motion.
- (e) *Scope of argument*. Argument to be heard on appeal, whether oral or on brief, shall be limited to the issues raised in the appeal or in the response to the appeal, except that if the Judicial Officer determines that additional issues should be argued, the parties shall be given reasonable notice of such determination, so as to permit preparation of adequate arguments on all issues to be argued.
- (f) *Notice of argument; postponement*. The Hearing Clerk shall advise all parties of the time and place at which oral argument will be heard. A request for postponement of the argument must be made by motion filed a reasonable amount of time in advance of the date fixed for argument.
 - (g) Order of argument. The appellant is entitled to open and conclude the argument.
- (h) Submission on briefs. By agreement of the parties, an appeal may be submitted for decision on the briefs, but the Judicial Officer may direct that the appeal be argued orally.
- (i) Decision of the [J]udicial [O]fficer on appeal. As soon as practicable after the receipt of the record from the Hearing Clerk, or, in case oral argument was had, as soon as practicable thereafter, the Judicial Officer, upon the basis of and after due consideration of the record and any matter of which official notice is taken, shall rule on the appeal. If the Judicial Officer decides that no change or modification of the Judge's decision is warranted, the Judicial Officer may adopt the Judge's decision as the final order in the proceeding, preserving any right of the party bringing the appeal to seek judicial review of such decision in the proper forum. A final order issued by the Judicial Officer shall be filed with the Hearing Clerk. Such order may be regarded by the respondent as final for purposes of judicial review without filing a petition for rehearing, reargument, or reconsideration of the decision of the Judicial Officer.

[42 FR 743, Jan. 4, 1977, as amended at 60 FR 8456, Feb. 14, 1995; 68 FR 6341, Feb. 7, 2003]

7 C.F.R. § 1.145